Notes on the taxonomy of Brazilian parrots

Helmut Sick

Academia Brasileira de Ciências, Caixa Postal 229, 20001 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

Recebido em 3 de fevereiro de 1989; aceito em 6 de julho de 1989

The systematics of the New World Psittacidae is facing problems that were created by attempts at reducing the number of generic names (i.e. "lumping"). The extreme homogeneity of the Psittaciformes induced Mayr and Amadon (1951) not to subdivide it. Smith (1975) and Homberger (1980, 1985) gathered the representatives of the New World Psittaciformes in one subfamily or tribe, respectively.

It is very difficult to separate the New World Psittaciformes genera on the basis of anatomical characters. There is much convergence and size-dependence among the skull and bill characters (Smith 1975). The genera Ara and Aratinga are morphologically "very similar"; "I cannot see any substantial reason why Ara and Aratinga should not be merged" (Smith in litt. 1987). Hopeful, however, are the following statements: "Functional-morphological studies on the surface structures of the palate, mouth cavity and tongue provide new insights into the systematics of neotropical parrots" (Homberger in litt. 1988).

Also other identification methods as, for instance, electrophoresis (biochemical systematics), as applied to Psittacidae by Sibley (1960) and Mainardi (1962) did not help in shedding light on the systematics of the New World parrots up to now.

However, the behavior may offer valid criteria for the systematics of the psittacines (ethological systematics). It is remarkable, for instance, that the American parrots copulate in an entirely different way from that of the rest of the parrots of the world. During copulation, the male of Australian parrots mounts the female (as is common among birds). In the American Psittaciformes, however, the male remains at the side (frequently the left side) of the female, holding firmely the perch with one foot and putting the other leg and wing over the female, while rubbing its cloaca against that of the female.

A reliable behavioral parameter that can be used

to distinguish certain parrot groups is the vocalization (Sick 1979, 1985). It is relatively easy to distinguish natural, monophylletic genera of the neotropical parrots by means of their vocalizations, though it is more difficult to do so for the species.

Vocalization (song) has been shown to be a useful character for systematics at the species level in other groups, though (Sick 1979, Payne 1986). Vocalizations have also been used for evaluating systematic relationships at higher levels, e.g., for nighthawks (Caprimulgidae; Davis 1962), woodpeckers (Picidae; Thielcke 1964) and owls (Strigidae; Marshall 1967, 1978). Vocalizations are especially valuable for phylogenetic conclusions when they are hereditary, as is generally the case in non-passerine birds and, thus, in parrots.

The true macaws. There are eight species that, in our view, truly represent the genus Ara Lacépède, 1799, of which A. macao (Linnaeus, 1758) is the type species. The genus Ara includes other seven species: A. ararauna (L., 1758), A. glaucogularis Dabbene, 1921, A. militaris (L., 1766), A. ambigua (Bechstein, 1811), A. chloroptera Gray, 1859, A. rubrogenys Lafresnaye, 1847, and A. severa (L., 1758).

The blue macaws are assembled in the genus Anodorhynchus Spix, 1824, which comprises the species A. hyacinthinus (Latham, 1790), A. glaucus (Vieillot, 1816), and A. leari Bonaparte, 1856 (Sick et al. 1987).

The macaws have a typical voice which inspired in Brazil the vernacular name arara. This name gave origin to the generic name Ara, which is a mutilation of the Indian word arara. It used to occur frequently that Indian names that were used as scientific names were mutilated.

The maracanās. Peters (1937) applied the name Ara also to four non-macaws, the maracanās (Indian name), namely the species macarana, auricollis,

manilata, and nobilis. Meyer de Schauensee (1966, 1970, 1982), Forshaw (1973) and others followed this arrangement.

The maracanās are relatively large psittacines, with a bare facial area (a character that was overestimated in its value as a taxonomic character by systematists), a long tail and a green plumage wich is suffused with some red or/and blue.

The maracanās are not morphologically uniform. The earlier systematists assigned them to three genera: *Propyrrhura* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920, *Orthopsittaca* Ridgway, 1912 and *Diopsittaca* Ridgway, 1912 (Pinto 1938).

The name *maracanā* is very well known in Brazil as much by the countrymen as by the people in the erudite circles, in the same manner as the name *arara* is.

The vocalization of the *maracanās* differs from that of the true macaws, resembling more that of the representatives of the genus *Aratinga* Spix, 1824.

Without a new morphological base, we have to put back the taxons in the genera created for them formerly, adopting the names used in the catalog of Pinto (1938) in the following way: Propyrrhura maracana (Vieillot, 1816); P. auricollis (Cassin, 1853); Orthopsittaca manilata (Boddaert, 1783); and Diopsittaca nobilis (L., 1758). A modern revision of the group would hopefully reduce the number of genera.

The Golden Conure. The Golden Conure was affected by the same intention to simplify the scientific nomenclature as happened with the maracanās. Instead of leaving the Golden Conure in its own genus Guaruba Lesson, 1831, Cory (1918) included it in the genus Eupsitula Bonaparte, 1853, today Aratinga Spix, 1824.

This seems not be warranted at all, as the Golden Conure, even as a taxidermised specimen, has little in common with the representatives of the genus *Aratinga*. This genus constitutes a reasonable taxonomic unit, comprising ten species.

The Golden Conure is a very peculiar parrot. Its behavior, vocalization and reproduction differ totally from those of *Aratinga* spp.. Once again we can learn from the indians, who gave it the name *ararajuba*, which means "yellow macaw". Without knowing of this etymology, when making my first field observations on the *ararajuba* in the Gurupi River region (Maranhão), I noted that the behavior

of this bird reminded that of the true macaws. The other Indian name for this species is *guaruba*, that means "yellow bird". Thus, we should revive the formerly used generic name *Guaruba*, which represents an excellent monotypic genus. The complete right name of the Golden Conure should be *Guaruba guarouba* (Gmelin, 1788).

REFERÊNCIAS

- Cory, C. B. (1918) Catalogue of birds of the Americas, 2 (part 1). Field Mus. Nat. Hist, Publ., 197.
- Davis, L. I. (1962) Acoustic evidence of relationship in Caprimulgus, Texas Journ. Sci. 14: 72-106.
- Forshaw, J. M. (1973) Parrots of the world. Melbourne: Lansdowne.
- Homberger, D. G. (1980) Funktionell-morphologische Untersuchungen zur Radiation der Ernährungs-und Trinkmethoden der Papageien (Psittaci). Bonn. Zool. Monogr. 13.
- ——— (1985) Parrot. In: Campbell, B. and E. Lack (eds.).

 A dictionary of birds. Calton: T & A D Poyser.
- Mainardi, D. (1962) Studio immunogenético sulla posizione tasinomica di Melopsittacus undulatus. Riv. Ital. 32: 136-140.
- Marshall, J. T. (1967) Parallel variation in North and Middle American Screech-owls. West. Found. Vert. Zool., Monogr. 1: 1-72.
- ——— (1978) Systematics of smaller Asian night birds based on voice, Ornith, Monogr. 25: 1-58.
- Mayr, E. and D. Amadon (1951) A classification of recent birds, Amer. Mus. Novit. 1496: 1-42.
- Meyer de Schauensee, R. (1966) The species of birds of South America and their distribution, Wynnewood: Livingston.
- ——— (1970, 1982) A guide to the birds of South America. Philadelphia: Academy of Natural Sciences.
- Payne, R. B. (1986) Bird songs and avian systematics. In: R. J. Johnston (ed.). Current ornithology, 3. p. 87-126.
- Peters, J. L. (1937) Check-list of birds of the world., 3. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Pinto, O. M. de O. (1938) Catálogo das aves do Brasil, 1ª parte. Rev. Mus. Paul. 22: 1-566.
- Sibley, C. G. (1960) The electrophoretic pattern of avian egg-white proteines as taxonomic characters, *Ibis* 102(2): 215-284.
- Sick, H. (1979) A voz como caráter taxonômico em aves. Bol. Mus. Nac., nova série, Zool. 294: 1-11.
- ——— (1985) Ornitologia brasileira, uma introdução, 1. Brasília: Editora Universidade de Brasília.
- Sick, H., L. P. Gonzaga e D. M. Teixeira (1987) A araraazul-de-Lear, Anodorhynchus leari Bonaparte, 1856. Rev. Bras. Zool. 3(7): 441-463.
- Smith, G. A. (1975) Systematics of parrots. *Ibis* 117(1): 18-68.
- Thielcke, G. (1964) Lautäusserungen der Vögel in ihrer bedeutung für die Taxonomie J. Orn. 105: 78-84.